Page 1 of 4 PageID #:

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

THE ESTATE OF YARON UNGAR, et al.

v.

C.A. No.: 00-105L

THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY, et al.

### PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT FOR EXHIBITS

Now come the Plaintiffs and hereby object to the Palestinian Defendants' Motion to Exceed Page Limit for Exhibits. Plaintiffs' objection is supported by the attached memorandum.

Plaintiffs

By their Attorneys,

David J. Strachman #4404 McIntyre, Tate, Lynch & Holt 321 South Main Street, Ste. 400 Providence, RI 02903 (401) 351-7700



### **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that on the 30 day of April, 2003, I mailed a true copy of the within objection and attached memorandum to:

Dorus a allen

Ramsey Clark Lawrence W. Schilling 36 East 12<sup>th</sup> Street New York, NY 10003

Deming E. Sherman Edwards & Angell, LLP 2800 Bank Boston Plaza Providence, RI 02903

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

THE ESTATE OF YARON UNGAR, et al.

v.

C.A. No.: 00-105L

THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY, et al.

# PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THEIR OBJECTION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT FOR EXHIBITS

Plaintiffs object to Defendants' attempt to circumvent the rules of this Court by requesting that a memorandum filed in the Court of Appeals serve as an "exhibit" to their objection to plaintiffs' motion for default judgment and other relief under Rule 37. Their 20 page memorandum proposed as an "exhibit" serves no purpose with regard to the motion for default judgment and other relief under Rule 37 except to circumvent this Court's page limitation for memoranda. The purported "exhibit" serves no function in the matter pending before this court and is not truly an exhibit. Rather defendants have brazenly acknowledged their violation of the rules by stating "Defendants incorporate by reference herein their motion for a stay in the Court of Appeals and have attached a copy to this Memorandum for the Court's convenience." Additionally, a pleading in an alleged appeal on an unrelated issue has no bearing in the discovery motion pending before this Court. Therefore, plaintiffs respectfully request that defendants' motion be denied and the reference to the memorandum filed in the Court of Appeals be stricken.

Plaintiffs

By their Attorneys,

David J. Strachman #4404

McIntyre, Tate, Lynch & Holt 321 South Main Street, Ste. 400

Providence, RI 02903

(401) 351-7700

(401) 331-6095 (fax)

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that on the 30 day of April, 2003, I mailed a true copy of the within memorandum to:

Ramsey Clark Lawrence W. Schilling 36 East 12<sup>th</sup> Street New York, NY 10003

Deming E. Sherman Edwards & Angell, LLP 2800 Bank Boston Plaza Providence, RI 02903